IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§ 8	
Plaintiff,	8 §	Civil Action No. 6:12-CV-499-LED
v.	§ §	(LEAD CASE)
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, INC.	§	(EET ID CT ISE)
Defendants.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	. 8 8	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	§ §	Civil Action No. 6:12-CV-576-LED
AUDIBLE MAGIC CORPORATION, ET AL.	§ § 8	(CONSOLIDATED WITH 6:12-CV-499)
Defendants.	\$ \$	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	

FACEBOOK, INC'S ANSWER TO BLUE SPIKE LLC'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Defendant Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook") answers the Original Complaint of Blue Spike LLC ("Blue Spike") as follows:

NATURE OF THE SUIT

1. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Facebook admits that paragraph 1 purports to assert a claim arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, and in all other respects denies the allegations of paragraph 1.

PARTIES

- 2. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 2, and therefore denies them.
- 3. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 3, and therefore denies them.
- 4. Facebook admits that it is a Delaware corporation and has a principal place of business at 1601 Willow Road, Menlo Park, California 94025. Facebook admits that it has appointed Corporation Service Company, located at 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701 as its agent for service of process. Facebook admits that it operates a social networking service that is accessible to users throughout the United States, including in the State of Texas. Except as expressly admitted, Facebook denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 4.
- 5. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 5, and therefore denies them.
- 6. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 6, and therefore denies them.
- 7. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 7, and therefore denies them.
- 8. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 8, and therefore denies them.
- 9. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 9, and therefore denies them.
- 10. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 10, and therefore denies them.

- 11. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 11, and therefore denies them.
- 12. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 12, and therefore denies them.
- 13. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 13, and therefore denies them.
- 14. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 14, and therefore denies them.
- 15. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 15, and therefore denies them.
- 16. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 16, and therefore denies them.
- 17. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 17, and therefore denies them.
- 18. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 18, and therefore denies them.
- 19. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 19, and therefore denies them.
- 20. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 20, and therefore denies them.
- 21. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 21, and therefore denies them.

- 22. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 22, and therefore denies them.
- 23. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 23, and therefore denies them.
- 24. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 24, and therefore denies them.
- 25. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 25, and therefore denies them.
- 26. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 26, and therefore denies them.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 27. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Facebook admits that the Complaint purports to be an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.* Facebook admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and 1331. Except as expressly admitted, Facebook denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 27 that are directed to Facebook.
- 28. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Facebook denies that it is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court with respect to the claims in the present action. Facebook does not waive any argument that this Court lacks jurisdiction over Facebook by filing this answer. Facebook denies that it has committed, contributed to, or induced any act of infringement. Facebook denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 28 that are directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

truth of the allegations of paragraph 28 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

29. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Facebook denies that venue is proper in the Tyler Division of the Eastern District of Texas with respect to the claims in the present action, and denies that this judicial district is the most convenient forum for the parties and witnesses or in the interests of justice. By filing this answer, Facebook does not waive any argument that venue is not proper in this District as to Facebook. Facebook denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Facebook denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 29 that are directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 29 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

JOINDER

30. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 30 that are directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 30 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 31. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 31, and therefore denies them.
- 32. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 32, and therefore denies them.

- 33. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 33, and therefore denies them.
- 34. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 34, and therefore denies them.
- 35. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 35, and therefore denies them.
- 36. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 36, and therefore denies them.
- 37. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 37, and therefore denies them.
- 38. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 38, and therefore denies them.
- 39. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 39, and therefore denies them.
- 40. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 40, and therefore denies them.
- 41. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 41, and therefore denies them.
- 42. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 42, and therefore denies them.
- 43. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 43, and therefore denies them.

- 44. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 44, and therefore denies them.
- 45. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 45, and therefore denies them.
- 46. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 46, and therefore denies them.
- 47. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 47, and therefore denies them.
- 48. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 48, and therefore denies them.
- 49. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 49, and therefore denies them.
- 50. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 50, and therefore denies them.
- 51. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 51, and therefore denies them.
- 52. Facebook admits that it operates the Facebook website at www.facebook.com. Facebook denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Facebook denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 52.
- 53. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 53, and therefore denies them.
- 54. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 54, and therefore denies them.

- 55. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 55, and therefore denies them.
- 56. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 56, and therefore denies them.
- 57. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 57, and therefore denies them.
- 58. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 58, and therefore denies them.
- 59. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 59, and therefore denies them.
- 60. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 60, and therefore denies them.
- 61. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 61, and therefore denies them.
- 62. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 62, and therefore denies them.
- 63. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 63, and therefore denies them.
- 64. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 64, and therefore denies them.
- 65. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 65, and therefore denies them.

- 66. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 66, and therefore denies them.
- 67. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 67, and therefore denies them.
- 68. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 68, and therefore denies them.
- 69. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 69, and therefore denies them.
- 70. Facebook denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Facebook denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 70 that are directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 70 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 71. Facebook admits that it has not to date sought a license under the Patents-in-Suit, but denies that any such license is necessary. Facebook denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 71 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 71 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 72. Facebook denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Facebook denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 72 that are directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 72 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,214,175

- 73. Facebook incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 72 above.
- 74. Facebook admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 8,214,175 ("the '175 patent") is titled "Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals." Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 74, and therefore denies them.
- 75. Facebook admits that, on its face, the '175 patent states that it issued on July 3, 2012. Facebook admits that what appears to be a copy of the '175 patent is attached as Exhibit A to the complaint. Facebook denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 75.
- 76. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 76 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 76 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 77. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 77 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 77 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 78. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 78 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 78 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

- 79. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 79 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 79 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 80. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 80 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 80 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,949,494

- 81. Facebook incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 80 above.
- 82. Facebook admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 7,949,494 ("the '494 patent") is titled "Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals." Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 82, and therefore denies them.
- 83. Facebook admits that, on its face, the '494 patent states that it was issued on May 24, 2011. Facebook admits that what appears to be a copy of the '494 patent is attached as Exhibit B to the complaint. Facebook denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 83.
- 84. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 84 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 84 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

- 85. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 85 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 85 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 86. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 86 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 86 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 87. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 87 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 87 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 88. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 88 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 88 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,660,700

- 89. Facebook incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 88 above.
- 90. Facebook admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 7,660,700 ("the '700 patent") is titled "Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals." Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 90, and therefore denies them.

- 91. Facebook admits that, on its face, the '700 patent states that it was issued on February 9, 2010. Facebook admits that what appears to be a copy of the '700 patent is attached as Exhibit C to the complaint. Facebook denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 91.
- 92. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 92 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 92 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 93. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 93 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 93 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 94. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 94 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 94 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 95. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 95 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 95 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 96. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 96 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 96 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,346,472

- 97. Facebook incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 96 above.
- 98. Facebook admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 7,346,472 ("the '472 patent") is titled "Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals." Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 98, and therefore denies them.
- 99. Facebook admits that, on its face, the '472 patent states that it was issued on March 18, 2008. Facebook admits that what appears to be a copy of the '472 patent is attached as Exhibit D to the complaint. Facebook denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 99.
- 100. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 100 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 100 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 101. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 101 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 101 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 102. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 102 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 102 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

- 103. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 103 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 103 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 104. Facebook denies the allegations of paragraph 104 to the extent directed to Facebook. Facebook is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 104 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Facebook incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 104 above. Facebook denies that Blue Spike is entitled to any relief whatsoever against Facebook in this action, either as prayed for in the Original Complaint or otherwise.

Except as expressly admitted above, Facebook denies each and every allegation contained in the Original Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Forum Non Conveniens)

1. The Eastern District of Texas is an inconvenient forum in which to litigate this action against Facebook under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

2. The Original Complaint for Patent Infringement fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Non-infringement)

3. Facebook has not and does not willfully or otherwise infringe, contribute to the infringement of, or actively induce others to infringe, any claim of the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Invalidity)

4. The '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents, including any asserted claims, are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103, and 112.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mark)

5. Prior to receiving a copy of the complaint in this action, Facebook did not have notice of Blue Spike's allegations of infringement. Upon information and belief, neither Blue Spike nor Blue Spike's licensees have marked instrumentalities that embody any of the claims of the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents with proper notice of the patents in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287. Blue Spike is not entitled to any pre-filing damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 287 for any claims to which 35 U.S.C. § 287 applies.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Prosecution History Estoppel)

6. Based on proceedings before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") during the prosecution of the application that ultimately issued as the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents, Blue Spike is precluded or otherwise estopped from asserting any construction of the claims of the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents that is inconsistent with its or its

predecessor(s)-in-interest's representations before the PTO. On information and belief, Blue Spike is estopped from asserting any construction of the claims sufficiently broad to cover or include any product made, used, sold, offered for sale within the United States, or imported into the United States, by Facebook.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches, Waiver, Acquiescence, Estoppel, and Unclean Hands)

7. Blue Spike's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrines of laches, waiver, acquiescence, estoppel, and/or unclean hands.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Limitation on Damages and Remedies)

8. Blue Spike's claims and prayer for relief are barred in whole or in part by 35 U.S.C. §§ 286, 287, and/or 288.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unavailability of Injunctive Relief)

9. Blue Spike is not entitled to injunctive relief or any other equitable relief because any alleged injury to Blue Spike is not irreparable and because – had Blue Spike been injured – it would have an adequate remedy at law.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Lack of Standing/Failure to Join Necessary Party)

10. Blue Spike lacks standing to bring a patent infringement action based on the '175, '494, '700 and/or '472 patents and/or has failed to join a necessary party under Fed. R. Civ. P. 19.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Single Recovery Rule)

11. Plaintiff's damages are barred in whole or in part by the single recovery rule.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(License/Implied License/Patent Exhaustion)

12. Plaintiff's damages are barred in whole or in part by the defenses of license, implied license, and/or patent exhaustion.

FACEBOOK'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Facebook prays for relief as follows:

- A. That the Court enter judgment in favor of Facebook, and against Blue Spike;
- B. That the Court find the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents not infringed by Facebook;
 - C. That the Court find the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents invalid;
- D. That Blue Spike take nothing by its Original Complaint for Patent Infringement against Facebook;
- E. That the Court find this action exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and award Facebook its costs and fees in this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees;
- F. That the Court grant Facebook such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Facebook demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: December 3, 2012

By:

/s/ Eric H. Findlay

Eric H. Findlay (Texas Bar No. 00789886)
Walter W. Lackey, Jr. (Texas Bar No. 24050901)
FINDLAY CRAFT, LLP
6760 Old Jacksonville Hwy, Suite 101
Tyler, TX 75703

Telephone: (903) 534-1100 Facsimile: (903) 534-1137 efindlay@findlaycraft.com wlackey@findlaycraft.com

I. Neel Chatterjee – *LEAD ATTORNEY*Gabriel M. Ramsey
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 614-7400
Facsimile: (650) 614-7401
gramsey@orrick.com
nchatterjee@orrick.com

Christopher J. Higgins
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
Columbia Center
1152 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-1706
Telephone: (202) 339-8400
Facsimile: (202) 339-8500
chiggins@orrick.com

Attorneys for Defendant FACEBOOK, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served electronically on opposing counsel pursuant to Local Rule CV-5(a)(7)(C) on December 3, 2012.

/s/ Eric H. Findlay
Eric H. Findlay